

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/698,059	Applicant(s) DIETL, JOSEF	
	Examiner MOHAMED WASEL	Art Unit 2454	

All Participants:

(1) MOHAMED WASEL.

(2) THOMAS H. REGER II.

Date of Interview: 15 December 2008

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 1:00 PM EST

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
1 and 5-7

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant's representative was contacted by Examiner to discuss amendment to claims 1 and 5-7 to better define the scope of the claim subject matter. See Examiner's Amendment for details.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)